

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/795,765	03/08/2004		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
20995 7590		Katsumi Ochiai	FS.20131US0A	1032
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			SWINEHART, EDWIN L	
IRVINE, CA 9	2614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application 1			
Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/795,765	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10/795,765	OCHIAI, KATSUMI		
Examiner			
	Art Unit		
Ed Swinehart	3617		
270 27 44	1		
ars on the cover charterit			

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary 9.

The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 11. 🛿 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

> Ed Swinehart Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3617

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

For the record, this advisory is responsive to the request for consideration filed 8/11/2006. The request for reconsideration filed 9/11/2006 cannot be entered because it is not timely filed. The notice of non-compliance mailed 9/1/2006 was mailed in error, as it was in fact responsive to the claims filed in the amdt. of 1/11/2006, which was non-compliant, yet entered by the office in error. Future amendments should include the text of withdrawn claims as required by the rules.

The Applicant argues that the electronic AND mechanical remote device are disclosed as usable togethor, and points to the specification, paragrapgh 84. The specification specifically states that such are used alternatively only, and not at the same time. Claim 1 for example reciting the mechanical remote control is limited to the mechanical specie, and to claim a command signal from the electronic remote control in conjunction with the mechanical elements recited is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed. Although figure 7 shows both 150 and 192 attached to the input, the description of this figure indicates that it is either one or the other, not both at the saame time.